

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,957	FUNAYAMA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK	2624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK. (3) _____.

(2) Stephen L. Keefe. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2010

Time: 10:00 am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Heinzmann and Park

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/A.O.F./

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that Examiner carefully considered the specification particularly determining what the specification stated is a key improvement over the prior art. Applicant was told that Examiner performed a thorough search of amended claim language being proposed and that it was deemed to distinguish over the prior art. Applicant agreed to such an Examiner's Amendment (refer to the Examiner's amendment portion of the concurrent Notice of Allowability to view this amendment)